RAF/CC

1. Early in the morning of 27 Dec 40 (approximately 0000L), two USAF security police patrolmen saw unusual lights outside the gate at RAF Woodbridge. Thinking an aircraft might have crashed or been forced down, they called for permission to go outside the gate to investigate. The air-duty flight chief responded and allowed three patrolmen to proceed on foot. The individuals reported seeing a strange glowing object in the forest. The object was described as being metallic in appearance and triangular in shape, approximately two to three meters across the base and approximately two meters high. It illuminated the entire forest with a white light. The object itself had a pulsing red light on top and a bank of lights underneath. The object was hovering or on legs. As the patrolmen approached the object, it maneuvered through the trees and disappeared. At this time, a man on a nearby farm went into a frenzy. The object was briefly sighted approximately an hour later near the back gate.

2. The next day, three depressions 1 1/2" deep and 7" in diameter were found where the object had been tandem on the ground. The following night (29 Dec 40) the area was checked for radiation. Beta/gamma readings of 0.3 millirad/minute were recorded with peak readings in the three depressions near the center of the triangle formed by the depressions. A nearby tree had moderate (.05-.07) readings on the side of the tree toward the depressions.

3. Later in the night a red sun-like light was seen through the trees. It moved about and pulsed. At one point it appeared to throw off glowing particles and then broke into five separate white objects and disappeared. Immediately thereafter, three star-like objects were noticed in the sky, two objects to the north and two to the south, all of which were above 1000 feet. The objects moved rapidly in sharp angular movements and displayed red, green, and blue lights. The objects to the north appeared to be elliptical through an 8x10 telescope. They then turned to full circles. The objects to the north remained in the sky for an hour or more. The object to the south was visible for two or three hours and travelled down a stream of light from time to time. Numerous individuals, including the undersigned, witnessed the activities in paragraphs 2 and 3.

CHARLES H, MALT, Lt Col, USAF
Deputy Base Commander

DOCUMENTS
The other explanation is that it didn’t. In that case, one is bound to assume that Colonel Halt and all his men were hallucinating. My position is perfectly clear — either of those explanations is of the utmost defense interest. It has been reported and claimed — and I, myself, have raised it to ministers at the Defense Ministry in this country — that nothing they have been informed about regarding UFOs is of defense interest. Surely, to any sensible person, either of those explanations cannot fail to be of defense interest. That the Colonel of an American Air Force Base in Suffolk and his military men are hallucinating when there are nuclear-armed aircraft on the base — this must be of defense interest.

And, if indeed what he says took place, did take place — and why on Earth should he make it up — then, surely, the entry of a vehicle from outer space (and certainly not manmade) to a defense base in this country also cannot fail to be of defense interest. It simply isn’t any good for our ministers — and the Ministry of Defense in particular — to say that nothing took place that December night in Suffolk, or that it is not of defense interest. It simply isn’t true.

Since my name has become connected with UFO matters in quite a big way in this country, and in one or two other countries too, I have frequently been asked why a person of my background — a former Chief of the Defense Staff, a former Chairman of the NATO Military Committee — why I think there is a cover-up, or what the reasons may be for government’s wishing to cover up the facts about UFOs. A number of explanations have often been put forward. The most frequent, and perhaps the most plausible, is the government’s concern (which is primarily that of the United States, and that of my own country) over the public’s reaction if they were told the truth — which is that there are objects in our atmosphere which are technically miles in advance of anything that we can deploy, that we have no means of stopping them coming here, and that we have no defense against them, should they be hostile.

I believe governments fear that if they did disclose those facts, people would panic: people would rush about and jam switchboards like they did that famous day in New Jersey, when there was a spoof that the Martians had landed — people will go mad, and they will jump up and down. I don’t believe that at all — I’ve said so in print. I do not believe that people today, in the 21st century, are going to panic at that sort of information. After all, they have put up with the introduction of nuclear weapons and the destruction of two Japanese cities 50 years ago. They take as a matter of course that we can land vehicles on Mars — land to the precise instant, forecast years before. So why should they panic? They are much more interested in doing the pools or the lottery. They would shrug their shoulders and take it as a matter of course. Anyway, they don’t trust politicians, in my experience.

What I’d like to say is that there is a serious possibility that we are being visited — and have been visited for many years — by people from outer space, from other civilizations; that it behooves us to find out who they are, where they come from, and what they want. This should be the subject of rigorous scientific investigation, and not the subject of rubbing by tabloid newspapers.

It seems to me that the Bentwaters incident is a classic case where an apparent intrusion into our airspace — and indeed, a landing in our country — occurred, which was witnessed by serious-minded people in the military — responsible people, doing a responsible job. And, Bentwaters is, in a sense, a benchmark for how not to deal with these matters in the future.
the other "The Flying Saucers are Real" by Donald Keyhoe. Both books dealt mostly with the sightings of unidentified objects and both books claim that the flying objects were of extra-terrestrial origin and might well be space ships from another planet. Scully claimed that the preliminary evidence of the one case which fell into the hands of the United States Government indicated that they operated on some hitherto unknown magnetic principles. It appeared to me that our own work in geo-magnetics might well be the linkage between our technology and the technology by which the Saucers are designed and operated. If it is assumed that our geo-magnetic investigations are in the right direction, the theory of operation of the Saucers becomes quite straightforward, with all observed features explained qualitatively and quantitatively.

I made discreet inquiries through the Canadian Embassy in Washington who were able to obtain for me the following information:

a. The matter is the most highly classified subject in the United States Government, rating higher even than the Manhattan Project.

b. Flying Saucers exist.

c. Their modulus operandi is unknown but concentrated effort is being made by a small group headed by Doctor Vanessa Haskins.

d. The entire matter is considered by the United States authorities to be of tremendous significance.

I was further informed that the United States agencies are investigating along quite a number of lines which might possibly be related to the Saucers such as mental phenomena and so far they are not doing too well since they indicated that if Canada is doing anything at all in geo-magnetics they would welcome a discussion with suitably accredited加拿大.

While I am not yet in a position to say that we have solved even the first problems in geo-magnetic energy release, I feel that the correlation between our basic theory and the available information on Saucers should be too close to be mere coincidences. It is my honest opinion that we are on the right track and are fairly close to at least some of the answers.

Mr. Wright, Defense Research Board liaison officer at the Canadian Embassy in Washington, was extremely anxious for me to get in touch with Doctor Solandt, Chairman of the Defense Research Board, to discuss with him future investigations along the line of geo-magnetic energy release. I do not feel that we have as yet sufficient data to place before Defense Research Board which would enable a program to be initiated within that organization, but I do feel that further research is necessary and I would prefer to see it done within the framework of our own organization. I shall therefore, write to the Director of the Defense Research Board and exchange with him, with of course, full co-operation and exchange of information with other interested bodies.

I discussed this matter fully with Doctor Solandt, Chairman of Defense Research Board, on November 18th and placed before him as much information as I have been able to gather to date. Doctor Solandt agreed that work on geo-magnetic energy should go forward as rapidly as possible.
US Air Force Lieutenant, Professor Robert Jacobs

So this thing [UFO] fires a beam of light at the warhead, hits it and then it moves to the other side and fires another beam of light. And the warhead tumbles out of space. What message would I interpret from that? [The UFOs were telling us] don't mess with nuclear warheads. Major Mannsman said, "You are never to speak of this again." After an article [about the incident years later], people would call and start screaming at me. One night somebody blew up my mailbox.—Video and Disclosure, pp. 184, 187.

Russian Air Force, Space Communications Center, General Vasily Alexeyev

As a rule, [places where UFOs appear] are objects of strategic significance....[The Air Force] came up with a table with pictures of all the shapes of UFOs that had ever been recorded—about fifty—ranging from ellipses and spheres to something resembling spacecrafts....The study of UFOs may reveal some new forms of energy to us, or at least bring us closer to a solution.—pp. 345-347.

US Army, General Stephen Lovekin

Colonel Holomon brought out a piece of what appeared to be metallic debris. He went on to explain that this was material that had come from a New Mexico crash in 1947 of an extraterrestrial craft, and that was discussed at length....I got an opportunity to travel with the President [Eisenhower]. He was very, very interested in what made [the UFOs] go. But what happened was that Eisenhower got sold out. He realized that he was losing control of the UFO subject. He realized that the [study of these technologies] was not going to be in the best hands. That was a real concern.—Video interview and Disclosure, pp. 230 - 236.

US Air Force, Aerospace Illustrator, Mark McCandlish

This [US made] antigravity propulsion system—this flying saucer—was one of three that were in this hangar at Norton Air Force Base. They called [it] the Alien Reproduction Vehicle [ARV], also nicknamed the Flux Liner.—Disclosure, p. 501.

US Marine Corps, Captain Bill Bullhouse

The [flight] simulator was for the extraterrestrial craft they had—a 30 meter one that crashed in Kingman, Arizona, back in 1953. I was inside the actual alien craft for a start-up....There are probably two or three dozen ARVs that we built.—Disclosure, pp. 384, 385.

US Air Force, NRO Operative, Sergeant Dan Morris

UFOs are both extraterrestrial and manmade....It's not that our government doesn't want us to know that there are other people on other planets. What the people in power don't want us to know is that there are other people on other planets. When this knowledge is found out by the people, they will demand that our government release this technology, and it will change the world.—Disclosure, p. 364.

US Air Force, Colonel Charles Brown

I was getting 20 to 30% improvement in efficiency on an internal combustion engine. I sponsored the US Army race team on a racing car, and we won a race. [Then] the Federal Trade Commission performed an illegal act. I lost my vehicle, about $100,000 worth of equipment, and a test vehicle was stolen....So in three weeks, psychologically I was wiped out.—Disclosure, pp. 247 - 251.

US Army, Ph.D. in Nuclear Engineering, Colonel Thomas E. Bearden

Probably 50 inventors have invented [virtually cost-free energy systems]. If we use these systems, we can clean up this biosphere. But, what do we do with all this power?—Disclosure, pp. 354 - 356. See also www.cheniere.org.

The Grand War Plan: It's All Based on a Lie

Corporate Manager of Fairchild Industries, Spokesperson for Wernher Von Braun, Dr. Carol Rosin

Von Braun [founder of modern rocket science] told me [in 1974] that the reasons for space-based weaponry were all based on a lie. He said that the strategy was to use scare tactics—that first the Russians, then the terrorists are going to be considered the enemy. The next enemy was asteroids. "The last card is the alien card. We are going to have to build space-based weapons against aliens, and all of it is a lie."...I was at a meeting in Fairchild Industries in the War Room. The conversation [was] about how they were going to antagonize these enemies and at some point, there was going to be a Gulf War. Now this is 1977!—Video, Disclosure, pp. 255-259.

DISCLOSURE PROJECT, FOUNDER AND DIRECTOR, STEVEN M. GREER, M.D.

The situation is so dire that senior Joint Chiefs of Staff leaders in the Pentagon who I have briefed, have no more access to such projects than any other civilian—unless they are on the Inside. The government is really quite outside the loop. We have insiders and scientists who can prove that we do in fact possess energy generation systems capable of completely and permanently replacing all forms of currently used energy generation and transportation systems. Every single person who is concerned about the environment and the human future should call for urgent hearings to allow these technologies to be disclosed, declassified, and safely applied.—Disclosure, pp. 14, 15, 567. See also Dr. Greer websites at www.disclosureproject.org.
get me!" My hand shook as I held the cold receiver.

Grant was not amused. He took this call to be another cruel joke. "Uh, I think you have the wrong number," he replied sarcastically, starting to hang up.

"Wait! It's me, Travis!" I screamed hysterically into the receiver.

"Where are you?" he asked, still suspicious of a joke.

"I'm at the Heber Exxon station."

"Okay," he replied, almost apologetically, yet still cautious of a prank. "Stay right there. I'll come and get you. Just hang on."

Grant drove the three miles from Taylor over to Snowflake and found my brother Duane at Mom's house. He told Duane about the call, and of his doubts it was really me. Duane, too, thought the call might have been yet another example of someone's idiotic concept of humor. But they decided they couldn't risk not investigating. They set out for Heber, thirty-three miles away.

Lights suddenly shone into the phone booth. Relief flooded over me when I raised my head and saw the headlights of Duane's pickup. Duane and Grant got out and came to where I was still slumped in the phone booth. Duane opened the glass door of the booth and helped me to my feet.

"Am I ever glad to see you!" Grant said.

Duane helped me into the warm truck and asked Grant to drive. On the way to Snowflake I tried to tell them about what happened to me, but I just couldn't get it all out.

"They were awful — white skin — great big eyes . . ." I sobbed in horror.

"Take it easy, Travis, you're all right now. They didn't harm you, did they?"

"No . . . but those eyes, those horrible eyes! They just kept looking at me!"

"Just so you're okay, that's all that counts," Duane said. "Everyone has been worried sick about you."

"If it's already after midnight, I must have been unconscious for a couple of hours," I replied shakily. "Because I only remember about an hour or an hour and a half inside that thing."

Duane and Grant looked at me strangely.

"Travis, feel your face," Duane said.

"Good hell, I just shaved this morning and it feels like a week's growth!" I exclaimed, still not comprehending.

"Travis," Duane said gently, "you've been missing for five days!"
The outside of the craft we had just left was shaped like the one we had seen in the woods, but was very much larger, about sixty feet in diameter and sixteen feet high. It did not emit light; instead it had a surface of shiny brushed-metal luster. It seemed to radiate a faint heat from its hull. The craft either sat flat on its bottom or, if it had legs, they were only a few inches high. It sat nearly in the middle of the large room.

On my left, toward one end of the large room, there were two or three oval-shaped saucers, reflecting light like highly polished chrome. I could see two of them very clearly, and a silvery reflection that could have been another shiny, rounded craft. They were about forty or forty-five feet in diameter, quite a bit smaller than the angular vehicle I had just come out of. I saw no projections or breaks in the smooth, shiny, flattened spheres. They sat on very rounded bottoms and I could not see how they balanced that way.

The man escorted me across the open floor to a door that opened silently and quickly from the middle outward. We were in a hallway about six feet wide, illuminated from the eight-foot-high ceiling, which was one long panel of softly diffused light. The hallway was straight and perhaps eighty feet long. Closed double doors were distributed along the corridor.

At the end of the hallway, another pair of double doors. I watched closely this time. I did not see him touch anything, but again the doors slid silently back from the middle. We entered a white room approximately fifteen feet square, with another eight-foot-high ceiling. The room had a table and a chair in it. But my interest was immediately focused on the three other humans!

Two men and a woman were standing around the table. They were all wearing velvety blue uniforms like the first man's, except that they had no helmets. The two men had the same musculature and the same masculine good looks as the first man. The woman also had a face and figure that was the epitome of her gender. They were smooth-skinned and blemishless. No moles, freckles, wrinkles, or scars marked their skin. The striking good looks of the man I had first met became more obvious on seeing them all together. They shared a family-like resemblance, although they were not identical.

“Would somebody please tell me where I am?” I implored. I was still utterly shaken from my encounter with those awful creatures. “What in hell is going on? What is this place?”

They didn't answer me. They only looked at me, though not unkindly. One man and the woman came around the table, approaching me. Silently they each took me by an arm and led me toward the table. I didn't know why I should cooperate with them. They wouldn't even tell me anything. But I was in no position to argue, so I went along at first.

They lifted me easily onto the edge of the table. I became wary and started protesting. “Wait a minute. Just tell me what you are going to do!”

I began to resist them, but all three began pushing me gently backward down onto the table. I looked up at the ceiling, covered with panels of softly glowing white light with a faint blue cast.
Human?
The door was only a few feet ahead on my right, on the inside curve of the hallway. I slowed down, turned, and stopped in the opening.

I looked cautiously. I saw a round room about sixteen feet across with a domed ceiling about ten feet high. Equally spaced around the room were three rectangular outlines resembling closed doorways.

No one there. The room was totally empty except for a single chair that faced away from me.

I looked behind me. The hallway was still empty. I slowly entered the room. I hesitated to approach the high-backed chair. There might be somebody sitting in it that I could not see from behind.

I circled, keeping my distance from the chair, checking to see if anyone was sitting in it. I followed the curve of the wall to get around to where I could see. I was ready to beat an instant retreat if I should see one of those hideous creatures again. I stopped every few steps to crane my neck over the back of the chair. Seeing nobody, I continued around to where I could ascertain, with much relief, that the chair was unoccupied.

Glancing apprehensively toward the open door, I slowly went toward the chair. As I gradually approached it, a very curious thing began to happen. The closer I got to it, the darker the room became! Small points of light became visible on, or through, the walls, even the floor. I stepped back and the effect diminished. I stepped forward and it increased again, the points of light becoming brighter in contrast to the darkening background. It was like the stars coming into view in the evening, only very much faster. The matte gray of the metal wall just faded out to be replaced by the glinting, speckled deep-black of space.

I looked at the controls on the chair. On the left arm, there was a single short thick lever with an oddly shaped molded handle atop some dark brown material. On the right arm, there was an illuminated, lime-green screen about five inches square with a lot of black lines on it that intersected each other at all angles. Under that, a square of approximately twenty-five colored buttons arranged in about five vertical rows with one color for each row. I looked for symbols or written words and found none.

The experiment I was considering was risky, but I was desperate. Maybe one of those buttons would open a door or something. On impulse, I went ahead and pushed one of the green buttons. I looked around the room and listened carefully — nothing happened. When I pushed the button, I noticed that the lines on the screen had moved. I recklessly pushed another green one. The lines rapidly changed angles, slid down each other, then stopped. I pushed some of the other colored buttons. Nothing happened. Nothing moved and no sound could be heard.

Trembling, I sat down on the hard surface of the chair. I put my hand onto the molded T-grip of the lever. Nothing moved and no sound could be heard. I moved over to one of the rectangles resembling closed doors. I searched the edges for a sign of a switch or an opening mechanism. Seeing none, I put my eye to the crack; I could not see any light. I looked around for some kind of symbol or writing that would help me figure out where I was or how to get out of there. None.

---
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**SPACE PIONEER GORDON COOPER DIES**

Cooper believed in UFO coverup

Tuesday, October 5, 2004 Posted: 0144 GMT (0944 HKT)


(CNN) -- Leroy Gordon Cooper, one of the nation's first astronauts who once set a space endurance record by traveling more than 3.3 million miles aboard Gemini 5 in 1965, died on Monday, NASA said. He was 77.

Cooper died at his home in Ventura, California.

"As one of the original seven Mercury astronauts, Gordon Cooper was one of the faces of America's fledgling space program. He truly portrayed the right stuff, and he helped gain the backing and enthusiasm of the American public, so critical for the spirit of exploration," NASA Administrator Sean O'Keefe said on the space agency's Web site.

Cooper, an Oklahoma native who entered the Marine Corps after graduating from high school in 1945, later became an elite Air Force test pilot at Edwards Air Force Base in California, where he became fascinated with the space program.

By April 1959, Cooper was named as one of the Project Mercury astronauts, following grueling physical and mental tests each candidate had to endure.

At the news conference naming the future of America's space program, Cooper was joined by Alan Shepard, Gus Grissom, John Glenn, M. Scott Carpenter, Walter Schirra Jr. and Deke Slayton.

On May 15 and 16, 1963, Cooper piloted the Faith 7 spacecraft on a 22-orbit mission that concluded the operational phase of Project Mercury.

A little more than two years later, he would set a new space endurance record, serving as command pilot of the eight-day, 120-revolution Gemini 5 mission, which began August 21, 1965.

It was on this flight that he and Charles Conrad traveled a distance of 3,312,993 miles in 190 hours and 56 minutes. Cooper also became the first man to make a second orbital flight.

During his two space flights, Cooper logged 225 hours, 15 minutes and 3 seconds. He served as backup command pilot for Gemini 12 and as backup commander for Apollo X.

In an interview with CNN in 2000, Cooper said in-house politics kept him off the moon flights.

"I would have liked to have gone to the moon. I would have liked to have been one of the crew that landed on the moon but it just didn't work out that way. And I don't, I certainly don't harbor any bitterness or anger."

In addition to his space flights, Cooper logged more than 7,000 hours flying time in jets and commercial aircraft. He retired from the Air Force and NASA in 1970 with the rank of colonel.

After leaving NASA, Cooper served on the boards of directors as a technical consultant to a number of companies in the aerospace, electronics and energy fields. He also was the vice president for research and development for Walter E. Disney Enterprises Inc., from 1974-1980.

In his post-NASA career, Cooper became known as an outspoken believer in UFOs and charged that the government was covering up its knowledge of extraterrestrial activity.

"I believe that these extraterrestrial vehicles and their crews are visiting this planet from other planets, which obviously are a little more technically advanced than we are here on Earth," he told a United Nations panel in 1985.

"I feel that we need to have a top-level, coordinated program to scientifically collect and analyze data from all over the Earth concerning any type of encounter, and to determine how best to interface with these visitors in a friendly fashion."

He added, "For many years I have lived with a secret, in a secrecy imposed on all specialists and astronauts. I can now reveal that every day, in the USA, our radar instruments capture objects of form and composition unknown to us..."
shoulders, exposing my chest and abdomen. A strange device curved across my body. It was about four or five inches thick and I could feel that it extended from my armpits to a few inches above my belt. It curved down to the middle of each side of my rib cage. It appeared to be made of shiny, dark gray metal or plastic.

I looked past the upper edge of the device. I could see the blurry figures of the doctors, leaning over me with their white masks and caps. They were wearing unusual, orange-colored surgical gowns. I could not make out their faces clearly.

Abruptly my vision cleared. The sudden horror of what I saw rocked me as I realized that I was definitely not in a hospital.

I was looking squarely into the face of a horrible creature! It looked steadily back at me with huge, luminous brown eyes the size of quarters.

I looked frantically around me. There were three of them! I struck out at the two on my right, hitting one with the back of my arm, knocking it into the other one. My swing was more of a push than a blow, I was so weakened. The one I touched felt soft through the cloth of its garment. The muscles of its puny physique yielded with a sponginess that was more like fat than sinew. The creature was light and had fallen back easily.

I lunged unsteadily to my feet and staggered back against a utensil-arrayed bench that followed the curve of one wall. I leaned there heavily, keeping my eyes riveted on those horrid entities.

My action had caused the device across my chest to crash to the floor. No wires or tubes connected it to me, or to anything else. It rocked back and forth on its upper side. The rocking sent shifting beams of greenish light out onto the floor, from the underside of the machine.

My aching body would not do what I told it to. My legs felt too weak to hold me up. I leaned heavily on the counter. The monstrous trio of humanoids started toward me. Their hands reached out at me.

With the superhuman effort of a cornered animal, I ground out the strength to defend myself. Fighting the splitting pain in my skull, I grabbed for something from the bench with which to fend them off. My hand seized on a thin transparent cylinder about eighteen inches long. It was too light to be an effective club. I needed something sharp. I tried to break the tip off the tube. I smashed the end of the glasslike wand down on the waist-high metal slab I had been lying on. It would not break.

I sprang into a fighting stance with my legs spread wide to brace for the attack. I lashed out with the weapon at the advancing creatures, screaming desperate, hysterical threats. The creatures slowed but continued toward me, their hands outstretched.

"Keep back, damn you!" I shrieked menacingly.

They halted. In a snarling crouch I held the tube threateningly back behind my head. I felt hopelessly trapped. I was surrounded, with my back to the wall.

They stood still, mutely. They were a little under five feet in height. They had a basic humanoid form: two legs, two arms, hands with five digits each, and a head with the normal human arrangement of

As a general comment, people who could be categorised in groups 1-4 (above) seem to hold a kind of prejudiced view against those in groups 5 and 6. On occasion, it seems, the level of prejudice between people in groups 1 or 2 and 6 can be as high as that experienced by those who have political views which differ strongly from "the majority" or prevailing view.

For those of you who have studied this subject before, you must forgive any statements I make which seem obvious or condescending. If any such statements are present, it is because I am attempting to get across ideas to people who may be "uninitiated" in the subject.

Most people’s immediate reaction is to be fairly sceptical about any claims of UFO related phenomena. This is something of a natural reaction, and not without its merits. However, when diverse corroborating testimony illustrates things appear to have been happening which we do not fully understand, testimony is too often disregarded as describing something which has been misperceived in some way, or was simply not real. Later, I will try to explore what I think are some of the reasons for this, but for now, I will quote someone called Wilbert Smith, a Senior Canadian Government Communications Engineer, from a speech he made in 1958, regarding this whole subject:

"It is not reasonable to assume that hundreds of ordinary, normal people, whose word we would readily accept under more mundane circumstances - for instance, as witnesses to an automobile accident - should suddenly becomes liars, fools, neurotics, and otherwise quite incompetent observers.

This is particularly true in the case of some of the Disclosure Witnesses who have, for instance, been in charge of Nuclear Weapons.

Common Reasons Why the Reality of Extraterrestrial Intelligence and its Fairly Frequent Visitation of the Earth is Denied or Just Ignored

There are quite a number of reasons why people reject this idea, which I will now try to explore. The "Disclosure" material (on the DVD - not included on here as these are not freely downloadable, but see the "Documents" section for sample) goes into more detail, offering wide-ranging (contemporary) witness testimony to explain why this is the case.

Lack of Balanced Media Coverage

It is stating the obvious, I know, but most people in Western Culture get their ideas about the everyday events through the Television and Newspapers. Though many would reject the idea that our media is "controlled", few would dispute that it is often influenced. For example, many papers print stories which they know will "sell copies". Particularly in recent years, papers seem almost obliged to print celebrity news for instance. This is, to my way of thinking, a kind of censorship in itself – i.e. the printing of stories which will "sell copies" is a censorship of stories which won’t "sell copies" (clearly, the "quality papers" are less guilty of this than the "gutter press"). Similarly, it seems to me that, over the last few years, television and radio news has, on many an occasion, exhibited a tendency to present news in a way which will "attract viewers" rather than simply sticking to presenting known information in a clear and concise way, without excessive repetition or speculation or "flashy" coverage.

Some years ago, Journalist Leslie Kean had tremendous difficulty in getting her story about The COMETA Report (the "French version" of "Disclosure") published. It was finally accepted.
"No way, man. I ain't going back there!" said someone else.

As the men argued, Mike interjected. "Let's build a fire so the guys who don't want to go can stay here in the clearing while the rest of us go back there."

Just as Mike was about to get the gas out of the back, they were startled by the sudden approach of headlights coming west on the Rim Road. The dim outline of a camper-pickup could be seen passing in the dark.

"Let's go catch that pickup and get help!" John yelled excitedly.

Everyone piled in the right side of the truck. As Mike went around the driver's side of the truck, he exclaimed: "Look! Did you see that?"

The men scrambled to look. One of the men ran to the front of the pickup. "What was it?" he asked.

Mike told them he thought he had briefly seen the outline of the golden disc through the trees to the south. It had raised itself vertically to treetop level and streaked away toward the northeast at incredible speed.

They got in the truck. Mike angled the forgiving old pickup over the high water-bar and pulled out onto the Rim Road, heading west. The men argued on, rehearsing what had happened. They were still arguing a mile down the road, where they reached the turnoff that went north to Heber. There, they finally worked their way around to the inevitable conclusion.

Mike turned the truck around at the turnoff. He said firmly: "This truck is going back. Anybody who doesn't want to come can get out right here and now, and wait! We've been acting like a bunch of cowards. We're all scared, there's no denying that, but we've got to do what we should've done in the first place!"

The embarrassed men no longer protested returning to the site. Even if any were still reluctant, they were ashamed to say so. Also, the prospect of waiting alone at the turnoff in the dark was much worse than going back together.

Their courage had been reinforced by the time and distance away from the site. However, as they turned left, off the Rim Road toward the original scene, their apprehension began steadily to rebuild. They began speculating on the dreadful possibilities of what they might find when they returned. The nearer they got, the more anxious they became.

"Hold it! It was right back there!" Ken exclaimed.

Somebody suggested pulling the truck around and pointing the headlights toward the log pile above which they had seen the hovering ship. They backed up and pulled in, driving over the fir sapling leaning in the way. Their eyes searched the area illuminated by headlights.

They found nothing.

"We're just going to have to get out and look around," said Mike.

They searched first in the security of the headlights. Everybody stayed together, huddling close to Mike, who carried the only flashlight. The flashlight beam probed into the night, examining every dark shape. They searched behind every log, bush, and stumps. They called repeatedly: "Travis! . . . TRAVIS!"

Except for their calls, the woods were deathly quiet. They searched farther north, as Allen had suggested. They searched beyond the crest of the ridge and farther south. They found no sign anywhere — no foreign objects or unusual markings. No burns, pad impressions, or disturbed ground. Not a trace of tracks and no evidence of a struggle.

Witness Testimonies that are made. One of the common phrases used in the media to describe people who are proposing the reality of ETI is "True Believers" – this kind of term tends to imply that there is no evidence for a belief in ETI. Disclosure Witnesses, and people like them, show that this is clearly not the case.

There Is No Reality In Any Of This Because You Just "Want To Believe It Is True"

This is not a very good basis from which to make an argument, because such remarks are directed personally at whoever is proposing a "different" or "wider" reality than most of us would readily accept. Additionally, having studied material that seems to have been released more recently, I really don't "want to believe it is true". (Consider the case of Stan Romanek if you want to know why.)

Arguments of "you want to believe it" type, therefore, are not based on any kind of evidence. I e-mailed the reporter who wrote the Kevin Conde story (who had a similar view to the above) and he remarked:

I take the view that the people claiming an alien spaceship has landed have all the proving to do, not the people who believed that no such thing happened ...

In this case, Colonel Halt’s memo outlines ample evidence that something did land (depressions, somewhat abnormal radiation etc). The Daily Mail report stated what it was:

'There was a large helicopter which landed there the previous night - a helicopter with three landing skids.'

This is stated in the article as being the explanation of the object which landed, despite the witness testimony that this was not the case. I therefore asked the reporter further about the ‘helicopter’ explanation. I asked:

Was it an Army, RAF or Coast Guard Helicopter? How often do helicopters land in the middle of the forest, in trees, without being damaged? Who was the pilot? Has he been contacted to testify/verify that he landed there?

The reporter’s response was that he had "no idea". He had therefore not felt this part of the explanation worth verifying, even though the alternative (“spaceship” – his word, not mine) explanation would appear to be of great significance. It seems all too often to be the case that someone can say “it didn’t happen that way” and it is accepted as a valid, sound explanation – without any evidence of the validity of the "more prosaic" explanation being presented.

I also feel that the "you want to believe it is true" argument also equally strongly applies, in reverse, to the very people who make it.

"There Is No Physical Proof of Extraterrestrial Life"

It is true that there is no publicly-exhibited physical evidence of extra-terrestrial life – or is there? Around the same time as I came across the Disclosure Project, I also came across the Starchild Project – which is centred around an unusual "deformed" skull, found in 1930. Again, some dismiss this item as an irrelevance – purely the result of cradle-boarding and hydrocephalus. This,
I stopped walking for a long, hesitant moment. I paused and turned to look back at the six men staring questioningly at me from the truck. The sober realization of what I was doing abruptly heightened the doubt I was already wrestling with. What should I do? I asked myself. Maybe I’m being foolhardy, I told myself. I won’t get too close . . . but what if there’s somebody inside that thing? I faltered. Finally I reassured myself with: I can always run away.

I was committed. Without replying to the guys, I resolutely turned and continued my brazen approach. I moved more slowly, cautiously covering the remaining distance in a half-crouch. I straightened up as I entered the dim circular halo of light softly reflecting onto the ground under the craft. I was about six feet from being directly beneath the machine. Bathed in the yellow aura, I stared up at the unbelievably smooth, unblemished surface of the curving hull. I was filled with a tremendous sense of awe and curiosity as I pondered the incomprehensible mysteries possible within it.

I had become aware of a barely audible sound coming from the ship. I could detect a strange blend of low- and high-pitched mechanical sounds. There were intermittent high, piercing, beeping points overlaid on the distant, low rumbling sound of heavy machinery. The strange tones were so mixed that it was impossible to compare them to any sound I could remember ever hearing.

“Travis! Get away from there!” Mike yelled to me.

I shot a fleeting look at the pickup parked in the road, then turned my attention back to studying the incredible ship.

Suddenly I was startled by a powerful, thunderous swell in the volume of the vibrations from the craft. I jumped at the sound, like that of a multitude of turbine generators starting up. I saw the saucer start wobbling on its axis with a quickening motion, in a pattern like the erratic spin of an unstabilized top. The same side continued to face me as the craft remained hovering at approximately the same height while it wobbled.

I ducked into a crouch when a tremendously bright, blue-green ray shot from the bottom of the craft. I saw and heard nothing. All I felt was the numbing force of a blow that felt like a high-voltage electrocution. The intense bolt made a sharp cracking, or popping, sound. The stunning concussion of the blow exposed me to it. Consider this portion of an entry from the Grolier’s Encyclopaedia 2000:

> The date of the earliest UFO sighting in history is unknown, and the evidence for such sightings is scanty and purely speculative, despite the claims of various books on the subject.

This entry is not really very accurate, as it tends to ignore the substantial body of Witness Testimony that describes something very different. It also disregards historical references to such things. Though the possibility of trickery and hoaxing is ever-present and often difficult to prove or disprove, in the final analysis, however, one has to consider the witness testimony very carefully, as I will discuss later.

We live in a “technologically advanced” society and there is something of an implicit view among many people that “we understand everything” and “anything which does not fit our understanding is not real”. People whose job depends strongly on a “scientific view of the world” are normally the most guilty of this. (i.e. They have a general view that anything which appears to be an effect but that can not be reliably reproduced under laboratory conditions is not worth taking seriously.) Of course any leaders who were to admit that they didn’t understand something or can’t explain it are showing a weakness. In many cases, however, it is a weakness of not being able to tell the truth, however uncomfortable it may be.

Since about 1947, due to a deliberate policy of ridicule and misinformation, people who have UFO experiences often do not openly discuss them, for fear of being thought of as “strange”. Whilst many UFOs can be explained as “natural phenomena” (and the list of explanations is quite long – I am not going to go over ground that has already been covered 100,000 times or more), it is sometimes the case that a “natural explanation” is applied where it clearly does not simultaneously cover all the features of the witness testimony, but when such explanations are offered, non-experiencers tend to accept them because it allows them to “keep their existing picture of reality”.

Also, for further comments about propulsion systems, see below. Things don’t appear to be so clear cut as many would have you believe.

But it Sounds Like Science Fiction – I’m Sorry. It Just Doesn’t Ring True – It Can’t Be Real

Again, this argument is based on the context we have experienced things in. Many people now have mobile phones – the latest of which are “video enabled”, allowing 2-way video communication. Do we reject the idea that these can be real because we first saw devices like them in Star Trek in the 1960’s? For those that have seen and used a mobile videophone they are clearly a reality. But, for instance, for those people who do not come into contact with them (and aren’t likely to), their existence is known of only through Witness Testimony and possibly written materials – and there are certainly some people somewhere in the world that would deny the existence of a videophone without seeing it themselves.

Cultural Reasons for Non-Acceptance

These are several fold. It seems true that in Western Culture, we are usually exposed to the idea of Extra Terrestrial Intelligence in a “fantasy context” rather than a “factual context” – i.e. we know of the idea of ET’s through films, TV and novels as “just a story” of one kind or another. Coverage of the idea in any other “frame of reference” is quite rare, and few people are exposed to it. Consider this portion of an entry from the Grolier’s Encyclopaedia 2000:

> The date of the earliest UFO sighting in history is unknown, and the evidence for such sightings is scanty and purely speculative, despite the claims of various books on the subject.

Also, for further comments about propulsion systems, see below. Things don’t appear to be so clear cut as many would have you believe.
sundown comes early. It gets dark very quickly when old Sol slips behind the trees and out of sight behind the high ridges. The gathering chill was beginning to numb my nose. With summer ending, it was starting to get down to five or ten degrees at night. I worked a little faster to ward off the chill, eagerly anticipating the reprieve of the day's conclusion. Not long to go before we could head for home.

Sunset had been fifteen minutes earlier, but we kept cutting in the waning light. I checked my watch again. It was six o'clock at last! Mike was still down the hill a little way, picking up and repiling. I yelled and took the liberty of giving the stop-work signal. The sound of the saws died; the final echoes absorbed into the deepening dusk.

We loaded the chainsaws and gas and oil cans into the back of the '65 International. After arranging the gas cans so they would not tip over and leak on the bumps, Mike slammed the tailgate tightly. The decrepit pickup groaned on its tired old suspension as everyone piled in. There was Dwayne by the left rear door, John and Steve in the middle, and Allen by the right rear door. In the front, I sat by the door, riding shotgun. Ken sat in the middle, and of course Mike was driving. The seven of us usually sat in the same place every day. Nonsmokers in front, smokers in back.

Mike started the old pickup and we climbed north up the ridge toward the Rim Road. It was 6:10. Barring any breakdowns, we should be home before 7:30. We left the windows down so we could cool off some. We were still warm from laboring, in spite of the evening air. Mike, Ken, and I do not smoke and we prefer to inhale genuine, unadulterated air. The four in the backseat lit up as soon as we were in the truck, eager after hours without a cigarette. The fresh air coming in my window was bracing. We usually nap on the way to work every morning, but none of us ever feels drowsy on the way back to town. The rousing activity on the job hones a keenness that stays with us all the way home.

Bouncing over the water-bars in the road — humps of dirt that prevent the road from washing out in the rainy season — the truck kept bottoming out on its springs with a dull clunking sound. The fellows started cracking jokes about the pickup.

Just then my eye was caught by a light coming through the trees on the right, a hundred yards ahead. I idly assumed that the glow was the sun going down in the west. Then it occurred to me that the sun had set half an hour ago. Curious, I thought it might be the light of some hunters camped there — headlights or maybe a fire. Some of the guys must have caught sight of it too, because the men on the right side of the truck had fallen silent.

As we continued driving up the road toward the brightness, we passed in sight of it for an instant. We barely got a glimpse through gnarled branches before we rolled past the opening in the trees.

"Son of a . . . !" Allen started.

"What the hell was that?" I asked.

My eyes strained to make sense of the glimmering through the dense stand of trees blocking our vision. From my open window, I could see the yellowish brilliance washing across our path onto the road another forty yards ahead. Intrigued, I was impatient to get past the intervening pines.

From the driver's seat, Mike could not look up with the proper angle without leaning way over, "What do you guys see?" he demanded curiously.

Dwayne answered, "I don't know — but it looked like a crashed plane hanging in a tree!"

Finally, our growing excitement spurred Mike into wringing out what little speed the pickup could still achieve on the incline. We rolled past the intervening evergreen thicket to where we could have an unobstructed view of the source of the strange radiance. Suddenly we were electrified by the most awesome, incredible sight we had seen in our entire lives.

"Stop!" John cried out. "Stop the truck!"

etc. certain Disclosure Witnesses testify directly to their involvement in a cover-up. The best way to cover something up is to create vast quantities of mis-information and mix this in with valid, legitimate claims. It then becomes very difficult to distinguish between truth and lies. This has probably happened to many subjects (including the Kennedy Assassination and the murder of Marilyn Monroe, both of which, from my research, are linked in some way to the Disclosure Subject). In most cases, the way information is covered up or distorted is quite subtle and difficult to see at first, but one also has to be wary of too much paranoia.

It is sometimes suggested that if the truth that "Disclosure" points to was "officially" revealed, there would be public hysteria (much as there was in the US in 1938 when Orson Welles' radio programme was taken to be a live report of Martians invading New Jersey), and this is one reason why "official" revelation has been avoided. This is probably not the real reason for the apparent cover-up.

"Disclosure" Testimony makes the link between UFOs/ETI and "free energy" and anti-gravity technology. For most of the 20th Century, various people have come forward to say they have discovered "free energy" of one kind or another - Nikola Tesla is probably the most well known example, though he is more commonly associated with "conventional physics". (He was the "inventor" of the "Alternating Current" method of transmitting power and the unit of Magnetic Flux density is also named after him). But many stories about him have also been snowballed in myth and legend, in much the same way as those in the UFO field. Moving away from Tesla, it is worth noting that one commercial product is available which appears to generate more energy than goes in - the Hydrosonic Pump.

If one accepts that some UFOs are real ET craft, then it seems clear they don’t run on petrol or "fossil fuel"! If one accepts that craft have crashed and have been recovered by the military, then it is likely they are in possession of advanced technologies. Disclosure testimony discusses the treatment of anti-gravity propulsion and "free energy" technologies.

US Air Force, NRO Operative, Sergeant Dan Morris - UFOs are both extraterrestrial and manmade...It’s not that our government doesn’t want us to know that there are other people on other planets. What the people in power don't want us to know is that this free energy [from energy generators developed with UFO technology] is available to everybody. So secrecy about the UFOs is because of the energy issue. When this knowledge is found out by the people, they will demand that our government release this technology, and it will change the world.

Talk of anti-gravity technology is normally immediately greeted (like most of the topics mentioned here) with chucklesome incredulity. This hasn’t quite stopped Nick Cook, a former editor of Jane’s Defence Weekly from publishing a book (in July 2002) called The Hunt for Zero Point (Publisher: Arrow; ISBN: 0099414988), the result of 10 years research into this field, in which he concludes that such technology probably has been developed, with some success, in Black Projects. As he says himself in an interview, the technology involved is so "world-changing" that it has been kept secret by a combination of methods. It hasn’t been kept secret by the US Government per se, but by the Military Industrial Complex, whose vested interests – some of which we effectively share - now constrain us into the unsustainable way of living that we now, in the main, subscribe to.

If you don't believe how large some of the Covert projects can be, consider the details of one project, which has recently been de-classified. Project Orion had considerable funding and planned to build a large ship to be "blasted" into space using atomic bombs.
Wilbert Brockhouse Smith is not a name which normally comes to mind for most people when they are discussing the evidence pertaining to UFO’s and extra-terrestrial intelligence. Indeed, most people tend to confuse Wilbert Smith with Wilbur Smith - the author of numerous historical fiction novels. Wilbert Brockhouse Smith was himself a writer, though his main work “A New Science” was never finished nor widely published.

Wilbert Smith was a rare individual indeed – naturally inquisitive, kind-hearted, methodical, analytical, thorough, resourceful - yet open-minded. Some of his writings read like those of a spiritual leader, whilst remaining grounded, straightforward and accessible. Unusually, for someone like Smith, through the 1940’s and 1950’s, he rose to a high position in the Canadian Government and eventually became the Superintendent of Radio Regulations. In 1950, his interest in “flying saucers” (as they were called then) was triggered by a magazine article and he began to investigate “saucer” cases himself, developing questionnaires for witnesses and contactees. He was a contemporary of people such as Major Donald Keyhoe, George Adamski and the legendary Frank Edwards, who all played a significant role in developing our knowledge of “The Boys Topside”, as Wilbert Smith came to call them.

Smith took his research very seriously and he realised and expressed the implications of what he discovered. He made repeated and strenuous efforts to obtain the support of the Canadian Government in specific research projects that he and a small team of associates undertook. These projects were not without success, although in later years, government officials disavowed their involvement with them and withdrew support.

In 10 years of research, Smith’s understanding developed and he began to see “the bigger picture”. He realised the key role that awareness and consciousness played in the phenomena that he was investigating.

When I first came across Wilbert Smith, I strongly identified with his writings and conclusions – partly because we have both worked in engineering disciplines – which are all about solving problems.

The information obtained by Smith has been preserved and disseminated by the work – spanning a quarter of a century – of Canadian Researcher Grant Cameron (www.presidentialufo.com). Other researchers, such as Arthur Bray have also collected and preserved Smith materials and prevented their untimely removal by government employees. However, it was Grant Cameron that collated (and placed in the public domain) thousands of pages of WB Smith-related documents, including the famous “Top Secret Memo” written to the Canadian Dept. of Transport in 1950. In that document, Smith discloses the secrecy classification on the study of the “saucer” phenomenon. Cameron and others have also preserved several hours of compelling audio recordings of Smith and his associates. These recordings, even today, jolt our grey matter in surprising directions.

Wilbert Smith left us an extremely valuable legacy. I truly hope we can use it wisely.

Andrew Johnson, June 2009

The Role of the Internet and World Wide Web

One big question which I have is this: “If this stuff is so highly classified then why can I find it so easily on the Web?” This is probably one of the more difficult questions to answer. My own view is that it seems likely that, whilst there seem to have been some attempts to censor or close some Web Sites, the majority remain unaffected. There could be several reasons for this:

- The task of preventing them being accessed is too difficult to undertake – the growth of the WWW has been so rapid that those wishing to keep this information secret have not been able to keep up.
- People can “Publish” their own information – people can read the written thoughts directly, with no intervening publisher or controlling body of any kind. This potentially limits the “filtering” that might otherwise be applied to this type of information.
- There is an active policy of allowing the information to come out so that people will gradually learn the truth, therefore “lessening the shock” of “Official Disclosure” (which I feel will come, some time in the next 50 years or so).
- Those like me, involved in disseminating the information in one way or another, are unwitting pawns in a “game” of actually spreading mis-information.

Clearly, the latter is a possibility (as several people have already suggested to me), but my answer to this is that I tend to take the Witness Testimony literally and believe that there are many honest, ordinary people who have had extraordinary encounters and experiences.

It is clear also to me, however, that with the advent of the Internet and things like Digital Cameras, Digital Recording and CD Burns, it is now much easier than ever before to share vast quantities of information directly and quickly. I.e. what I have produced here could perhaps be considered as some kind of encyclopaedia, yet the cost of compiling and producing copies of this is negligible, considering the amount of information contained herein. This sort of thing has only become possible in the last few years.

A More Conventional View…

“Conventional evidence” now being gathered re extra solar planets and e.g. water on Mars lends support to the idea of extra terrestrial life being more likely. And, even mainstream science now seems to mention “Panspermia” as a possible idea for the origin of life on Earth - because no scientific theory properly explains how it started on earth so early. (But it can, of course, be argued that this is because the idea is “in fashion” again at the moment.) Also, after several years of work, the SETI program (whose PC-screensaver I run) sent out this message. However, no follow up message indicating any success has been sent.

The Nub of “Disclosure” – Witness Testimony

Whole branches of Psychology are devoted to people’s perception of events and how they can be changed, distorted etc. It seems to be the case, as has already been touched on, that when witnesses describe unusual events, there is a basic (and/or subconscious) assumption that their testimony cannot be valid. Whole edifices of thought and conjecture are constructed to give reasons to suggest the literal meaning of the testimony may not be valid. Most of this edifice...