

[24/08/2010 22:29:08] simon shack: Andrew, why don't you return to our forum? Tell me frankly now: are you somehow connected to the UK intelligence agencies? Don't get offended now - you know that I'm a quite normal person making my utmost to understand the oddities of this planet.

[24/08/2010 22:30:30] Andrew Johnson: I have spent too many wasted hours on forums. I have no connection to any intelligence agencies - I work for the OU part time!

[24/08/2010 22:30:50] simon shack: OU? sorry what's that?

[24/08/2010 22:30:52] Andrew Johnson: I am from a working class family!

[24/08/2010 22:30:59] Andrew Johnson: www.open.ac.uk

[24/08/2010 22:31:36] Andrew Johnson: I am editing a presentation about Health at the moment for another DVD

[24/08/2010 22:31:39] simon shack: I see - the [contact ad.johnson@ntlworld.com]

[24/08/2010 22:31:53] Andrew Johnson: indeed - that's how I got involved with ST911

[24/08/2010 22:32:29] simon shack: What exactly do you think of my September Clues research?

[24/08/2010 22:32:33] Andrew Johnson: here is the only 911 truth article published in a UK university publication that I am aware of. A shame it is out of date now

[24/08/2010 22:33:00] Andrew Johnson:
http://www.open.ac.uk/socialsciences/__assets/kuzur9beeawzyzjo0v.pdf

[24/08/2010 22:33:03] Andrew Johnson: turn to page 5

[24/08/2010 22:33:05] simon shack: thx

[24/08/2010 22:33:42] Andrew Johnson: I think Sept Clues is excellent - but I don't have anything to add to what I already said to you on the forums

[24/08/2010 22:35:11 | Edited 22:36:36] simon shack: Yes but you must know that people analyzing the fake 9/11 videos- and making scientific conclusions around them - are entrenched in a fallacy? Such as Judy Wood?

[24/08/2010 22:35:35] Andrew Johnson: I don't understand your point

[24/08/2010 22:36:50 | Edited 22:37:06] simon shack: (edited post above)

[24/08/2010 22:38:28] simon shack: Both Richard Gage and Judy Wood look at the videos and draw conclusions from them. This is a fallacious way of going about the research.

[24/08/2010 22:38:41] Andrew Johnson: Oh dear

[24/08/2010 22:38:51] Andrew Johnson: you don't seem to have got it do you?

[24/08/2010 22:38:57] Andrew Johnson: Are the towers still there?

[24/08/2010 22:39:00] Andrew Johnson: Yes or no

[24/08/2010 22:39:27] simon shack: I don't see how you can type these words.

[24/08/2010 22:39:37] Andrew Johnson: I used a keyboard

[24/08/2010 22:39:43] Andrew Johnson: Please answer my question

[24/08/2010 22:40:05] simon shack: The towers were demolished - in fact 9 buildings were. [24/08/2010 22:40:09] Andrew Johnson: OK

[24/08/2010 22:40:42] Andrew Johnson: "Demolished" has a particular connotation - as it often means either taken down with "ball" or with explosives

[24/08/2010 22:40:52] Andrew Johnson: I say "turned to dust"

[24/08/2010 22:41:00] Andrew Johnson: so you can say "demolished"

[24/08/2010 22:41:08] Andrew Johnson: How were the towers "demolished"?

[24/08/2010 22:41:16] simon shack: Let me believe that they were demolished quite conventionally, ok?

[24/08/2010 22:41:35] Andrew Johnson: Ok - that's fine if you want to "believe" that [24/08/2010 22:41:42] simon shack: thanks!

[24/08/2010 22:41:43] Andrew Johnson: I think that we can do better than belief [24/08/2010 22:41:49] Andrew Johnson: so I'll let it be that - OK?

[24/08/2010 22:42:01] Andrew Johnson: So you can continue on your thread [24/08/2010 22:42:04] simon shack: Do you believe people perished in the WTC?

[24/08/2010 22:42:10] Andrew Johnson: and I can continue on mine...

[24/08/2010 22:42:45] Andrew Johnson: All the evidence I have suggests that a number of people perished in the destruction of the WTC

[24/08/2010 22:42:51] simon shack: Who?

[24/08/2010 22:43:07] simon shack: How many?

[24/08/2010 22:43:20] Andrew Johnson: Now, if you have the belief that all the evidence is faked - including 500 witness accounts then you can come to a different conclusion [24/08/2010 22:43:33] Andrew Johnson: You want me to list the victims names?

[24/08/2010 22:43:59] Andrew Johnson: I'll do you a deal

[24/08/2010 22:44:05] simon shack: I want you to tell me if you believe that 3000 people perished in the WTC

[24/08/2010 22:44:18] Andrew Johnson: I will list as many victims names as I can if you tell me how the towers were demolished

[24/08/2010 22:44:30] simon shack: so - 3000?

[24/08/2010 22:44:30] Andrew Johnson: See the deal above

[24/08/2010 22:44:50] Andrew Johnson: my understanding is, on the number, about 3000 yes. But see the deal above. OK?

[24/08/2010 22:44:56] Andrew Johnson: That's my final offer

[24/08/2010 22:45:07] Andrew Johnson: When I am happy with your explanation

[24/08/2010 22:45:22] Andrew Johnson: I will try to list as many victims as I can for you - OK?

[24/08/2010 22:46:18] simon shack: I - and NO ONE ELSE - will ever be able to prove exactly how the WTC complex was demolished. Do you understand? That's why Richard Gage concentrates on his UNPROVABLE matter.

[24/08/2010 22:46:39] Andrew Johnson: Richard Gage? He's an OP!!

[24/08/2010 22:46:47] Andrew Johnson: What's he got to do with anything?

[24/08/2010 22:46:54] Andrew Johnson: Have you read my book yet?

[24/08/2010 22:47:00] Andrew Johnson: Have you look at my website?

[24/08/2010 22:47:04] simon shack: yes

[24/08/2010 22:47:23] Andrew Johnson: Have you read about AE911 truth striking people off their petition for mentioning the court case of Dr Wood?

[24/08/2010 22:47:42] Andrew Johnson: I have no interest in Gage's theories for heaven's sake!

[24/08/2010 22:47:59] Andrew Johnson: It's the energy question which is key - the one answered by the evidence!!

[24/08/2010 22:48:23] simon shack: But Judy Wood uses the videos to support the dustification theories.

[24/08/2010 22:48:23] Andrew Johnson: You think all the photos are fake and those fakes just so happen to match the characteristics of the Hutchison Effect?

[24/08/2010 22:48:50] Andrew Johnson: You think it's a coincidence Fetzer attacked me when I supported this conclusion due to my 10-year earlier knowledge?

[24/08/2010 22:49:13] simon shack: yes - ALL the 9/11 imagery pool is fake. It is a prefabricated Hollywood production...

[24/08/2010 22:49:47] Andrew Johnson: OK,I see, I see - yes - it's all clear to me now - so excuse me while I go back to my video editing [24/08/2010 22:49:56] simon shack: cool!

[24/08/2010 22:49:59] Andrew Johnson: As you can see, there are a variety of topics on my website

[24/08/2010 22:50:10] Andrew Johnson: I try to keep up with as many as I can

[24/08/2010 22:50:29] simon shack: Andrew - come on now: Do you believe in ANY of the 9/11 imagery?

[24/08/2010 22:50:58] simon shack: If you do, why don't you tell us which is real and which is fake ??????

[24/08/2010 22:51:04] Andrew Johnson: Simon - it's not a question of belief [24/08/2010 22:51:15] Andrew Johnson: Do you believe you are typing on Skype [24/08/2010 22:51:16] simon shack: ah - i see...

[24/08/2010 22:51:25] Andrew Johnson: It is a question of KNOWLEDGE and EVIDENCE

[24/08/2010 22:51:35] Andrew Johnson: this is where we differ

[24/08/2010 22:51:45] simon shack: right - that's exactly what I think

[24/08/2010 22:51:45] Andrew Johnson: to it's a pointless conversation
[24/08/2010 22:52:00] simon shack: no - it's VERY revealing
[24/08/2010 22:52:04] Andrew Johnson: I will not type what I think it fake and what i think is genuine
[24/08/2010 22:52:16] simon shack: good for you, bye.
[24/08/2010 22:52:19] Andrew Johnson: it will take too long
[24/08/2010 22:52:39] Andrew Johnson: Post what you like on a forum - I have the evidence right here, thanks
[24/08/2010 22:52:53] Andrew Johnson: It will all be logged and saved, as you know
[24/08/2010 22:53:19] Andrew Johnson: It will also be posted, should it become necessary (see my previous articles)
[24/08/2010 22:53:28] Andrew Johnson: bye for now
[24/08/2010 22:56:32] Andrew Johnson: Oh, and by the way, Steven Warran's blog is interesting - not sure what to make of it all..
[24/08/2010 23:27:07 | Edited 23:27:18] simon shack: Steven Warran (real name Steven Welch) has got it al sussed. He's been here in my house and we've had our fair share of controversies. But now he has sussed it. Would you like to come and visit me in Rome, Andrew?
[24/08/2010 23:27:53] Andrew Johnson: Not particularly, but thanks for the offer [24/08/2010 23:28:12] Andrew Johnson: I went to Rome in 1987 - it was fun!
[24/08/2010 23:28:59] Andrew Johnson: I've got 2 kids to look after so I need to make sure they are looked after etc. My wife works shifts at the hospital so I have to share all responsibilities [24/08/2010 23:29:31] Andrew Johnson: I am glad SW has "sussed" it all [24/08/2010 23:29:45] simon shack: Allright - I understand.
[24/08/2010 23:30:10 | Edited 23:30:24] simon shack: Is that correct English, btw?
[24/08/2010 23:30:15] simon shack: sussed?
[24/08/2010 23:31:55] Andrew Johnson: yes "sussed" is used to mean "understand"
[24/08/2010 23:34:13] simon shack: ah ok. That's what I figured. It's been a long time since I lived in the UK. Spent 5 years in and around the Midlands. Reading, Banbury, Avon Dassett and thereabouts..
[24/08/2010 23:34:48] Andrew Johnson: ic
[24/08/2010 23:38:43] simon shack: Yes, I even spent 2 years in a manor in Avon Dassett which used to be owned by John Profumo...Do you remember the "cold war" Profumo scandal? Christine Keeler?
[24/08/2010 23:40:02] simon shack: Perhaps you're too young for that ? :D
[24/08/2010 23:41:27] Andrew Johnson: I don't remember it - but I do know of it. I was born in 1964
[24/08/2010 23:47:55] simon shack: Well, that Manor was owned by a Jaguar executive - an old gay gentleman which taught me a lot about the corrupt nature of the UK industries and so forth..
[24/08/2010 23:48:43] simon shack: He never got me laid though! haha!
[24/08/2010 23:48:44] Andrew Johnson: indeed..
[24/08/2010 23:49:21] Andrew Johnson: I don't know anyone like that
[24/08/2010 23:49:46] Andrew Johnson: I did meet JJimmy Doohan in 1992!
[24/08/2010 23:55:29 | Edited 00:03:26] simon shack: I've been - in a way - "lucky" to learn about some aspects of the "elite" that plays with us all. As my (now estranged) brother tried to become a Formula 1 superstar and mingled with the very rich, he even got sponsored by Saudi sheiks - and I was invited to fly in their Gulfstream executive private airplanes..
[24/08/2010 23:56:08] Andrew Johnson: wow... amazing..
[24/08/2010 23:56:57] Andrew Johnson: I have no such connections or experiences. However, my software work has taken me to Canada, USA, South Korea, Japan, Italy and around the UK
[00:01:03] simon shack: We all have different experiences in our lifetime - what matters is how much we are able to draw useful knowledge from them. Since this world is verging towards total insanity, we have a duty to do something about it.

[03/06/2011 21:10:38] simon shack: Hey Andy - what's up?
[03/06/2011 21:11:13] Andrew Johnson: What do you mean "What's up", exactly?
[03/06/2011 21:12:36] simon shack: I write this sentence to all of my friends, only to ask: "What's up?"
[03/06/2011 21:14:03] Andrew Johnson: Oh i see...
[03/06/2011 21:14:05] simon shack: Sometimes they respond: "Hey, all cool here! Whassup with you?"
[03/06/2011 21:14:24] Andrew Johnson: All fine here thanks... how are things with yourself?
[03/06/2011 21:15:18] simon shack: Fine! Summer is coming - and I'll soon be swimming in the sea!
[03/06/2011 21:16:41] simon shack: Actually - a week ago I swam...but uhh....it was cold!
[03/06/2011 21:17:28] Andrew Johnson: right - it's good swimming in the sea. My fave was the indian ocean.... back in 1994 - too long ago now!
[03/06/2011 21:18:15] simon shack: I did that in 2005! Wonderful India!
[03/06/2011 21:18:44] Andrew Johnson: Right - well, I was actually in Seychelles at the time... I'd like to go to India some day...
[03/06/2011 21:18:51] simon shack: Hehe - I see!
[03/06/2011 21:19:56] simon shack: Sri Lanka and the islands around are also fantastic...
[03/06/2011 21:20:11] Andrew Johnson: yeah - I bet!
[03/06/2011 21:20:53] simon shack: But my personal fave is Indonesia - Java more than touristic Bali!
[03/06/2011 21:21:29] Andrew Johnson: right - a popular destination these days!
[03/06/2011 21:24:02] simon shack: Hey - may I ask you one thing?
[03/06/2011 21:24:24] Andrew Johnson: sure...
[03/06/2011 21:25:09 | Edited 21:25:23] simon shack: Is this really Judy Wood's YT channel? If not, why don't you ask Youtube to remove it? <http://www.youtube.com/user/DrJudyWood>
[03/06/2011 21:26:36] Andrew Johnson: No it is not hers - I think Dr Wood asked for it to be removed - or if she didn't she hasn't had time to find out who to ask
[03/06/2011 21:26:57] Andrew Johnson: As you'll appreciate, it takes a many hours to respond to all the trash out there.
[03/06/2011 21:27:36] Andrew Johnson: I am sure you have a good understanding of how the trash works. I try and ignore the trash as
[03/06/2011 21:27:50] Andrew Johnson: much as possible. But sometimes it has to be responded to
[03/06/2011 21:28:28 | Edited 21:28:39] simon shack: Sure - but if I had seen a fake "Simon Shack" YT channel - I would have spent all of my time asking for it to be removed.
[03/06/2011 21:28:55] Andrew Johnson: I think really, if you'd listened to Dr Wood's talks etc, you should've have logically come to the conclusion (without needing to ask me) that it was not hers [03/06/2011 21:29:01] Andrew Johnson: Yes, I know you would.
[03/06/2011 21:29:45] Andrew Johnson: I can't dictate what Dr Judy WOOD chooses to spend her time on...
[03/06/2011 21:30:07] Andrew Johnson: It's funny to see people posting a 4-year old Jenkins interview as some kind of proof
[03/06/2011 21:30:19] Andrew Johnson: when Jenkins has no website, no evidence - in fact nothing new in 4 years.
[03/06/2011 21:30:34] Andrew Johnson: And so it goes....
[03/06/2011 21:30:53] simon shack: So why do you think this Judy Wood namesake would have written THIS stuff to me? <http://www.cluesforum.info/viewtopic.php?p=2353099#p2353099>
[03/06/2011 21:31:34] Andrew Johnson: Oh THAT - well, it's not from her. I can tell you that much. And it's not from me either - for the record.
[03/06/2011 21:31:52] Andrew Johnson: ALso, heck - it's over 1 year old
[03/06/2011 21:32:12] Andrew Johnson: so, I am surprised it's taken you a whole year to ask me this question
[03/06/2011 21:32:18] simon shack: Well - we haven't been in touch for a while - have we, Andrew?
[03/06/2011 21:32:20] Andrew Johnson: Perhaps you're not bothered about it it
[03/06/2011 21:32:34] Andrew Johnson: No, but You've been on my skpye list for ages
[03/06/2011 21:32:41] Andrew Johnson: I am on SKype every day

[03/06/2011 21:32:49] Andrew Johnson: You only asked me now

[03/06/2011 21:33:03] Andrew Johnson: I have not needed to contact you because there is nothing I wanted to ask you

[03/06/2011 21:33:30] Andrew Johnson: Most of my questions have been answered by reading your forum posts and watching a couple of your videos, like....

[03/06/2011 21:33:32] Andrew Johnson: ;)

[03/06/2011 21:33:43] simon shack: Do you lurk on Cluesforum now and then?

[03/06/2011 21:34:20] simon shack: Well - I'm asking too many questions now!

[03/06/2011 21:34:30] Andrew Johnson: I have looked about 3 times in the last 6 months - at a guess

[03/06/2011 21:34:57] Andrew Johnson: This usually happens when I have nothing better to do than see where traffic is coming from for my website

[03/06/2011 21:35:38] Andrew Johnson: Usually, I am quite busy with student work or copying DVDs etc or putting a talk together or something (did one in Wales on Weds - took me ages to put it together...)

[03/06/2011 21:35:49] Andrew Johnson: But all that's on my website, like

[03/06/2011 21:36:02 | Edited 21:36:16] simon shack: Would you come over for the big 10-year celebration in my house over Rome?

[03/06/2011 21:36:42] Andrew Johnson: No thanks. I won't be celebrating anything really, I am afraid. I am flattered by your invite, however....

[03/06/2011 21:36:58] Andrew Johnson: And again, you can look on my website for another reason why...

[03/06/2011 21:37:24] Andrew Johnson: I post everything important - saves people hassling me - or it has so far, anyway.

[03/06/2011 21:37:26 | Edited 21:37:40] simon shack: My goodness - why not celebrate? We have undressed the clowns!

[03/06/2011 21:37:47] Andrew Johnson: indeed - but how many people care?

[03/06/2011 21:38:12 | Edited 21:38:23] simon shack: EVERYONE CARES, Andrew my friend!

[03/06/2011 21:38:15] Andrew Johnson: I will spend my time burning more DVDs or whatever - a better use of my time

[03/06/2011 21:38:21] Andrew Johnson: A few care

[03/06/2011 21:38:45] Andrew Johnson: I know that. But plenty of others want to hijack the truth and run it in the wrong direction.

[03/06/2011 21:39:00] Andrew Johnson: So I am constantly working against that at the moment

[03/06/2011 21:39:04] simon shack: Those who do not care are not worthy of our friendship and attention - get it?

[03/06/2011 21:39:05] Andrew Johnson: As you can tell

[03/06/2011 21:39:30] Andrew Johnson: Indeed - one could say that - but I prefer to supply information to those who ask for it

[03/06/2011 21:39:55] Andrew Johnson: and that's what is happening - and has been, for me, for the last 5 years

[03/06/2011 21:40:35 | Edited 21:40:47] simon shack: I've seen you making conferences - great! I've been doing a dozen around Italy too.

[03/06/2011 21:40:46] Andrew Johnson: e.g. I got invited to Isle of Wight in Sept - and they're organising the talk. So that's my way of "celebrating" (that word does not fit for me in this context)

[03/06/2011 21:40:57] Andrew Johnson: Good

[03/06/2011 21:41:47] simon shack: So what's the problem now? Do you still think the 9/11 imagery was real?

[03/06/2011 21:42:19] simon shack: I know you don't - btw!

[03/06/2011 21:43:10] simon shack: You should tell Judy to stop using those 9/11 videos to prove her points - because they are just Hollywood products.

[03/06/2011 21:44:10] Andrew Johnson: Oh golly - here we go again...

[03/06/2011 21:44:23] Andrew Johnson: Simon do you still think the 911 imagery is ALL fake?

[03/06/2011 21:44:37] Andrew Johnson: I have a list of questions I asked you last time we touched on this
[03/06/2011 21:44:49] Andrew Johnson: you still haven't done your side of the deal
[03/06/2011 21:45:02] Andrew Johnson: so there's no sense in discussing it further
[03/06/2011 21:45:23] Andrew Johnson: In case you're not aware, I went to NYC in 2008
[03/06/2011 21:45:28] Andrew Johnson: The Towers were not there [03/06/2011
21:45:33] Andrew Johnson: I filmed them being not there.
[03/06/2011 21:45:46] Andrew Johnson: So the bottom line is this [03/06/2011
21:45:50] Andrew Johnson: Dr Judy Wood is correct [03/06/2011 21:45:53]
Andrew Johnson: You are wrong.
[03/06/2011 21:45:55] Andrew Johnson: OK?#
[03/06/2011 21:46:01] Andrew Johnson: If you're not wrong
[03/06/2011 21:46:11] Andrew Johnson: start a court case based on video fakery [03/06/2011
21:46:20] Andrew Johnson: then we can talk further, OK?
[03/06/2011 21:46:37] Andrew Johnson: Other than that, not much else to say on the matter...
[03/06/2011 21:46:56] Andrew Johnson: Everything else is posted - and it proves you are wrong.
[03/06/2011 21:46:59] simon shack: Am I saying the towers are still there??
[03/06/2011 21:47:09] Andrew Johnson: You know what I mean
[03/06/2011 21:47:21] Andrew Johnson: You couldn't answer the question before [03/06/2011
21:47:32] Andrew Johnson: Have you started your court action yet?
[03/06/2011 21:47:33] simon shack: Which question?
[03/06/2011 21:47:54] Andrew Johnson: That's the standard you need to meet and the only question I need
an answer to at this point
[03/06/2011 21:48:14] Andrew Johnson: So it's "yes I have started a court case" or "no I haven't"
[03/06/2011 21:48:25] Andrew Johnson: If "yes" then I'd be interested in the details [03/06/2011
21:48:30] simon shack: Me? Start a court action? Haha! I'm nobody! [03/06/2011 21:48:34]
Andrew Johnson: If "No" there's nothing to discuss [03/06/2011 21:48:44] Andrew Johnson: OK
- thanks for the clarification!
[03/06/2011 21:48:54] Andrew Johnson: I'm a nobody too
[03/06/2011 21:48:58] simon shack: You're welcome
[03/06/2011 21:49:00] Andrew Johnson: but I helped with the court case
[03/06/2011 21:49:15] Andrew Johnson: I did what I could because the evidence proves the case [03/06/2011
21:49:22] simon shack: Of?
[03/06/2011 21:49:29] Andrew Johnson: Read the documents [03/06/2011
21:49:39] Andrew Johnson: then you'll know won't you?
[03/06/2011 21:49:44] Andrew Johnson: Why ask me?
[03/06/2011 21:49:54] Andrew Johnson: It's all posted...
[03/06/2011 21:50:14] Andrew Johnson: I've a 2-hour lecture posted (now 3 years old almost)
[03/06/2011 21:50:21] Andrew Johnson: There is a 300-page free book to read [03/06/2011
21:50:26] Andrew Johnson: Want any more?
[03/06/2011 21:50:41] Andrew Johnson: Forgive me if I don't re-type it all or paste it here... ;)
[03/06/2011 21:51:01] Andrew Johnson: As I say to others, take it or leave it... I am a nobody too...
[03/06/2011 21:51:16] Andrew Johnson: (and I make sure people know that)
[03/06/2011 21:52:05] Andrew Johnson: Anyway, doing some more DVDs etc now - must be nearly your
bed time ;)
[03/06/2011 21:52:30] simon shack: I'll read your documents. Do you have a fast link to them ?
[03/06/2011 21:52:54] Andrew Johnson: <http://tinyurl.com/911ftb> [03/06/2011 21:53:01]
simon shack: Thanks!
[03/06/2011 21:53:07] Andrew Johnson: <http://tinyurl.com/911qtam>
[03/06/2011 21:53:10] simon shack: ok
[03/06/2011 21:53:53] Andrew Johnson: The latter is the court case documents. John Hutchison's affidavit
is particularly interesting (at least to me, anyway)

[03/06/2011 21:54:10] Andrew Johnson: Note the defendants in the case too....

[03/06/2011 21:54:32] Andrew Johnson: But of course, their involvement was fake...

[03/06/2011 21:54:41] Andrew Johnson: ;)

[03/06/2011 21:55:00] simon shack: I will - hey, since you're in the British Isles - what happened to David Shayler? Is he still alive??

[03/06/2011 21:55:29] Andrew Johnson: By the way, I've seen lots of "mind games" going on in the last 8 years, so I try to keep a loom out for new ones! ;)

[03/06/2011 21:55:36] simon shack: What's your take about him anyway? [03/06/2011 21:55:40] Andrew Johnson: AFAIK Dave Shayler is still alive [03/06/2011 21:55:53] Andrew Johnson: Not heard from him in ages.

[03/06/2011 21:56:11] simon shack: So you were in touch before?

[03/06/2011 21:56:18] Andrew Johnson: Dave has a great mind. He was naive and something odd happened to him in 2007

[03/06/2011 21:56:37] Andrew Johnson: I don't know what happened to him really - to make him think he was the messiah

[03/06/2011 21:56:52] Andrew Johnson: I couldn't really have a sensible conversation with him after that

[03/06/2011 21:57:11 | Edited 22:00:01] simon shack: In 2007 !!! That's when I published September Clues on Livevideo! :P

[03/06/2011 21:57:27] Andrew Johnson: Before that, I spoke with him a few times and was on good terms with him

[03/06/2011 22:05:52 | Edited 22:06:17] simon shack: Andrew - honestly now, I'm sure you can ask Judy Wood to remove that fake YT channel of hers. Will you?

[03/06/2011 22:06:56] Andrew Johnson: I can ask her - but she doesn't always do what I ask her - and so she shouldn't, frankly....

[03/06/2011 22:07:25] simon shack: Weird answer

[03/06/2011 22:07:41] Andrew Johnson: You can ask her - her e-mail address is on her website

[03/06/2011 22:08:03] Andrew Johnson: She is unlikely to answer you because you put a picture of her in one your "911 actors" videos

[03/06/2011 22:08:16] Andrew Johnson: WHICH is even weirder than my answer ;)

[03/06/2011 22:08:38] Andrew Johnson: That fact alone answered one of my questions ;)

[03/06/2011 22:09:01] simon shack: haha - so why hasn't she EVER contacted me (before or after that?)

[03/06/2011 22:09:05] Andrew Johnson: So, is the object to study the evidence and find the truth?

[03/06/2011 22:09:28] Andrew Johnson: Or is it to call Dr Judy Wood's character into question as much as possible?

[03/06/2011 22:09:51] Andrew Johnson: This is, from my standpoint, the mind game you are playing at the moment. Or maybe I am just dumb...

[03/06/2011 22:10:05] Andrew Johnson: Let's see the court documents Simon, then I'll take it all back...

[03/06/2011 22:10:46] Andrew Johnson: Do you think Dr Judy Wood would have any time to do anything if she responded to all the trash and character assassination, inference, negativity etc etc that is "out there"?

[03/06/2011 22:10:47 | Edited 22:11:08] simon shack: Why have you guys never contacted me? Is my research totally without merit - in your opinion? - hehe, let me just ask this!

[03/06/2011 22:10:58] Andrew Johnson: Oh dear...

[03/06/2011 22:11:09] Andrew Johnson: I already answered that question above, I think [03/06/2011 22:11:11] simon shack: Oh dear...

[03/06/2011 22:11:49] Andrew Johnson: I still give out DVDs of Sept Clues... I have never said it does not raise important questions - and uses evidence to do so. [03/06/2011 22:12:10] Andrew Johnson: What more can I say?

[03/06/2011 22:12:25] Andrew Johnson: I helped you with a website for a while - or had you forgotten that?

[03/06/2011 22:12:26] simon shack: Well - your friend Richard Hall has obviously tried to distort the TV fakery evidence, has he not?

[03/06/2011 22:12:38] Andrew Johnson: Ummmm - no.

[03/06/2011 22:12:44] simon shack: NO??

[03/06/2011 22:12:44] Andrew Johnson: I disagree actually

[03/06/2011 22:13:03] Andrew Johnson: He's taken the ball footage and tried to give an explanation for the ball...

[03/06/2011 22:13:15] simon shack: HAHA

[03/06/2011 22:13:21] simon shack: funny

[03/06/2011 22:13:27] Andrew Johnson: And so you're not distortin g the evidence by suiggesting everything is fake and "believing in CD"?

[03/06/2011 22:13:39] Andrew Johnson: Wow - amazing stuff!

[03/06/2011 22:14:18] Andrew Johnson: and you're not distoring evidence by putting a picture of dr wood in your video?

[03/06/2011 22:14:25] Andrew Johnson: so, there you go!!

[03/06/2011 22:14:31] Andrew Johnson: MIND GAMES!

[03/06/2011 22:14:35] simon shack: See, the "BALL" was only a little word that I used to describe what was seen on that NBC video - and he made it up to some REAL BALL... pathetic...

[03/06/2011 22:14:46] Andrew Johnson: Half my book is about that

[03/06/2011 22:14:54] Andrew Johnson: Yeah he did [03/06/2011

22:14:57] Andrew Johnson: so?

[03/06/2011 22:15:58] Andrew Johnson: Richard Hall has looked into the UFO/Black tech angle - and that's what he was suggesting

[03/06/2011 22:16:25] simon shack: SO? Well that's disinfo - that's what.

[03/06/2011 22:16:27] Andrew Johnson: I also suggested black tech in 2007 from what I'd studied - Richard just made his analysis into a video

[03/06/2011 22:16:52] Andrew Johnson: Yeah - you sbuold kinow, right? (i.e. ref the Judy Wood photo)

[03/06/2011 22:16:59] Andrew Johnson: Anyway, tell Richard Hall what you think

[03/06/2011 22:17:04] Andrew Johnson: he made the flippin video [03/06/2011

22:17:06] Andrew Johnson: not me!!

[03/06/2011 22:17:44] Andrew Johnson: I posted it on my website because I was in the bit about the wtnesses

[03/06/2011 22:18:11] Andrew Johnson: Richard said "packed with explosives" and I don't agree with that part anyway

[03/06/2011 22:18:26] Andrew Johnson: but at least he listened when I told hm that

[03/06/2011 22:18:36] Andrew Johnson: unlike some people

[03/06/2011 22:18:42] simon shack: Do you even know that Einstein was a plagiarist? You had a portrait of him on a table in that BALLS video...

[03/06/2011 22:19:04] Andrew Johnson: I didn't - Richard did

[03/06/2011 22:19:29] Andrew Johnson: Yes, a lot of people - such as ALEXander Fleming - were plagiarists of some kind

[03/06/2011 22:19:40] Andrew Johnson: changing the subject are we?

[03/06/2011 22:19:43] simon shack: no

[03/06/2011 22:20:21] simon shack: I'd really like to hear some strong stuff from you, Andrew [03/06/2011

22:20:21] Andrew Johnson: ok sorry must've been me then ay?

[03/06/2011 22:20:31] Andrew Johnson: like what?

[03/06/2011 22:20:46] Andrew Johnson: "Jim Fetzer is connected to the people who carried out 911"?

[03/06/2011 22:20:54] Andrew Johnson: You can read that in my book!

[03/06/2011 22:21:06] Andrew Johnson: He's not even denied it!

[03/06/2011 22:22:08] Andrew Johnson: All posted, me old china plate! ;)

[03/06/2011 22:22:13 | Edited 22:22:24] simon shack: No. Like recognizing that the primary means of fooling the public in our age is done with FAKE IMAGERY. Is this concept clear enough to you ?

[03/06/2011 22:22:36] Andrew Johnson: Ahhhh

[03/06/2011 22:22:52] Andrew Johnson: So giving out DVDs of Orig sept clues doesn't count, then?

[03/06/2011 22:23:27] simon shack: ? Are you playing stupid now?
[03/06/2011 22:23:33] Andrew Johnson: Hmmm you haven't watched the 2 hour lecture I link you to?
[03/06/2011 22:23:39] Andrew Johnson: It mentions fake imagery
[03/06/2011 22:23:51] Andrew Johnson: SO, what are you talking about Simon?
[03/06/2011 22:24:32] Andrew Johnson: Simon, here it is for you NOT ALL THE 911 IMAGERY IS FAKE, AS YOU CLAIM
[03/06/2011 22:24:41] Andrew Johnson: your statement is impossible to prove
[03/06/2011 22:25:05] Andrew Johnson: so, come on Simon, are you "playing stupid" now? (Your diction, not mine)
[03/06/2011 22:25:12] simon shack: Really? Have you disproved it?
[03/06/2011 22:25:16] Andrew Johnson: I'd like some strong stuff from you...
[03/06/2011 22:25:38] simon shack: Where is the debunking of that?
[03/06/2011 22:25:39] Andrew Johnson: I haven't disproved that I am an illegitimate child - does that mean I am/
[03/06/2011 22:25:41] Andrew Johnson: ?
[03/06/2011 22:25:46] Andrew Johnson: Where is the debunking of that?
[03/06/2011 22:25:54] Andrew Johnson: I see a flaw in your logic....
[03/06/2011 22:26:07] simon shack: I see a flaw in your tone.
[03/06/2011 22:26:13] Andrew Johnson: Good!
[03/06/2011 22:26:36] Andrew Johnson: Then we are both feeling perfectly normal today, Mr Beeblebrox
[03/06/2011 22:27:28] simon shack: Could you kindly tone down your speech now, my dear researching friend?
[03/06/2011 22:27:44] Andrew Johnson: In what way?
[03/06/2011 22:27:58] Andrew Johnson: Are you finding the issues difficult to deal with?
[03/06/2011 22:28:07] simon shack: Not at all
[03/06/2011 22:28:11] Andrew Johnson: I said I wanted to see a court case
[03/06/2011 22:28:23] Andrew Johnson: you went on talk about fake imagery and einstein
[03/06/2011 22:28:36] Andrew Johnson: I told you part of what was in my book and video
[03/06/2011 22:28:38] simon shack: In fact - the issues are getting ever more easier to diffuse...
[03/06/2011 22:28:42] Andrew Johnson: you didn't seem interest
[03/06/2011 22:28:43] Andrew Johnson: ed
[03/06/2011 22:29:12] Andrew Johnson: anyway I have done an envelope of DVDs now and it's nearly my bed time!
[03/06/2011 22:29:43] simon shack: The idiotic antics of the goons governing this planet are getting ever more transparent
[03/06/2011 22:29:57] simon shack: Good night then! (hug)
[03/06/2011 22:30:15] Andrew Johnson: Sleep tight... [03/06/2011 22:30:21] simon shack: U2!

[31/01/2012 17:03:53] simon shack: Dear Andrew, I am still wondering what the deal is with this "Judy Wood" Youtube channel. Could you get back to me about it? Thanks! <http://www.youtube.com/user/DrJudyWood>
[31/01/2012 17:06:53] Andrew Johnson: Dear Simon, no news I am afraid. You can write to youtube if you're interested and find out who set it up... Too busy to talk anymore write now because got to talk to a student
[31/01/2012 17:07:22] simon shack: Ok - thx!
